Black Sea Journal of Health Science

doi: 10.19127/bshealthscience.1316313

Open Access Journal e-ISSN: 2619 – 9041

Research Article Volume 6 - Issue 4: 584-591 / October 2023

EVALUATION OF THE APPROACHES ADOPTED BY THE INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY SPECIALISTS AND MEDICAL MICROBIOLOGY SPECIALISTS IN THE CASE OF *MYROIDES* SPP. GROWTH IN URINE CULTURE: A SURVEY STUDY

Esmeray MUTLU YILMAZ1*, Melek BİLGİN², Özgür GÜNAL³

¹Samsun Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 55090, Samsun, Türkiye ²Samsun Training and Research Hospital, Medical Microbiology Laboratory, 55090, Samsun, Türkiye ³Samsun University, School of Medicine, Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 55090, Samsun, Türkiye

Abstract: Myroides species are bacteria that, although rare, can cause a variety of serious infections, including urinary tract infections, and are often resistant to antibiotics. There are no studies on the knowledge and attitudes of specialists regarding Myroides spp. growth in culture in literature. In our study, the survey forms created with Google Forms (Google LLC, CA, USA) were shared in the email groups of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology (IDCM) and Medical Microbiology (MM) specialties via electronic communication system. The results were evaluated statistically. 92 IDCM specialists and 75 MM specialists participated in the study. It was found that 48.9% of IDCM specialists and 20% of MM specialists have never seen Myroides spp. growth in a urine sample, and 32.6% of IDCM specialists and 14.9% of MM specialists still use the old name Flavobacterium for Myroides spp. (28.8% of MM specialists do not know that the name Flavobacterium is changed), and 45% of MM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth were able to recognize the Myroides spp. colony visually, and 53.3% of MM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth routinely performed antibiograms in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, and 32.6% of IDCM specialists requested typing and sensitivity testing in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, and 31.9% of IDCM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth considered Myroides spp. growth as a causative agent of infection in the presence of pyuria in urine, while 36.2% always considered it as colonization/contamination, and 61.7% of IDCM specialists only changed the catheter without giving antibiotics when they considered Myroides spp. as a causative agent of infection, while 21.3% gave antibiotics according to the antibiogram result. As a conclusion; our study is the first study in the world to investigate the knowledge and attitudes of infectious disease specialists and medical microbiologists about Myroides spp. growth in urine culture. The findings of our study showed particularly that approximately half of the IDCM specialists had not seen Myroides spp. growth in urine culture and that some of the specialists may have a low level of knowledge about Myroides spp.. Additionally, among the specialists participating in the study, it was shown that attitudes and approaches in the case of Myroides spp. growth in urine were not directly related to the hospital that they worked and their years of experience in specialty. Our findings suggest that MM and IDCM specialists need an update of their knowledge on Myroides spp.

Keywords: Myroides odoratus, Myroides odoratitimus, Urine culture, Infectious diseases, Medical microbiology, Survey

*Corresponding author: Samsun Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 55090, Samsun, Türkiye

E mail: emutlu55@gmail.com (E.	MUTLU	J YILMAZ)	
Esmeray MUTLU YILMAZ	Ð	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2569-7601	Received: June 20, 2023
Melek BİLGİN	Ð	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0025-8717	Accepted: August 08, 2023
Özgür GÜNAL	Ð	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7744-4123	Published: October 15, 2023
Cite as: Mutlu Yilmaz E, Bilg	in M, C	Günal Ö. 2023. Evaluation of the approaches ad	opted by the infectious diseases and clinical microbiology specialists and
medical microbiology specia	lists in	the case of myroides spp. growth in urine cultu	re: a survey study. BSJ Health Sci, 6(4): 584-591.

1. Introduction

Myroides species are gram-negative, non-motile, nonfermentative bacilli common in nature and can be isolated predominantly from water, soil, food and sewage treatment plants. In the last two decades, an increasing number of infections caused by these microorganisms, such as urinary tract infections and skin and soft tissue infections, have been reported as case reports (Gunzer et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2023). *Myroides* species, which are commonly known to be naturally antibiotic resistant and opportunistic, can, also cause rare outbreaks. VITEK2 automated identification system identifies the isolates at *Myroides* genus level, while MALDI-TOF MS can identify two species, *Myroides odoratus* and *Myroides odoratitimus* (Hu et al., 2016)

Although rare, *Myroides* species can cause serious infections such as necrotizing fasciitis, soft tissue infections, septic arthritis, ventriculitis, pneumonia and sepsis (Crum-Cianflone et al., 2014; Gunzer et al., 2018; Beathard et al., 2021; Büyüktuna et al., 2021). Some serious cases have been reported in which *Myroides* spp. growth was detected in urine cultures and treatment was

BSJ Health Sci / Esmeray MUTLU YILMAZ et al.



unsuccessful in some thereof, however, other cases were reported to recover after treatment with antibiotic combinations such as rifampicin, ciprofloxacin and meropenem (Wuer et al., 2002; Li et al., 2010; Ktari et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2023). Selection of the appropriate antibiotic therapy to treat infections caused by *Myroides* species is difficult due to its natural resistance to many antibiotics (Hu et al., 2016; Gunzer et al., 2018).

To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies on the knowledge and attitudes of medical specialists regarding the approach to *Myroides* spp. growth in culture. The aim of this study was to evaluate, via survey, the knowledge and attitudes of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology (IDCM) and Medical Microbiology (MM) specialists with respect to *Myroides* spp. growth in urine culture.

2. Material and Method

2.1. Survey and Participants

The study was conducted between February 1-28, 2023 using Google Forms (Google LLC, CA, USA) based on an electronic communication system. Two surveys prepared with 11 questions for IDCM experts and 9 questions for MM experts were made available via Google survey application and the survey link was sent to all accessible infectious diseases and medical microbiology specialists across Türkiye. Those who agreed to fill out the survey were allowed to complete it by ticking a checkbox. The completed surveys were delivered back to us by clicking on the submit button at the end of the web page. In this way, a total of 167 specialists (92 IDCM specialists and 75 MM specialists) were included in the study. The physicians other than those who completed the surveys after approval and delivered them back to us were not included in the study.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses in the study were performed using SPSS 25.0 software (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive data were given as numbers and percentages. Comparisons between groups in terms of categorical variables were made with Pearson's chi-square test. The normal distribution of continuous variables was confirmed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. Differences between groups in terms of continuous variables were evaluated by Student's t-test and mean values between multiple groups were compared by analysis of variance. The results were evaluated at 95% confidence interval and P<0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

The mean years of post-specialization experience for IDCM specialists who participated in the study was 9.33 ± 7.7 (median = 8; min-max = 0-46) years, while that of the MM specialists was 10.53 ± 6.68 (median = 10; min-max = 0-34) years.

According to the statements of IDCM and MM specialists, 48.9% of IDCM specialists and 20% of MM specialists had never seen Myroides spp. growth in a urine sample, and 28.8% of MM specialists did not know the name Flavobacterium has changed, and 32.6% of IDCM specialists and 14.9% of MM specialists still use the old name Flavobacterium for Myroides spp., and 45% of MM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth were able to recognize the *Myroides* spp. colony visually, and 53.3% thereof routinely performed antibiograms in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, and 32.6% of IDCM specialists requested typing and sensitivity testing in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, and 31.9% of IDCM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth considered Myroides spp. growth as a causative agent of infection in the presence of pyuria in urine, while 36.2% thereof always considered it as colonization/contamination, and 61.7% of IDCM specialists only changed the catheter without giving antibiotics when they considered Myroides spp. as a causative agent of infection, while 21.3% thereof gave antibiotics according to the antibiogram result (Table 1). No significant difference was found between the distribution of responses given by IDCM specialists based on the types of hospitals where they work and their academic titles (P>0.05 for each) (Tables 2 and 3).

No significant difference was found between the distribution of responses given by MM specialists based on the types of hospitals where they work (P>0.05 for each) (Table 4).

Based on the responses given by participants, the mean years of post-specialization experience values were similar (P>0.05 for each) (Tablo 5).

	IDCM	2424
	IDCM Specialists	MM
	specialists	n (%)
Distribution of the bosnital they work	11 (70)	11 (70)
Training and research hosnital	28(30.4)	23(30.7)
State hospital	20(30.4)	23(30.7)
University hospital	25(31.3)	33(44) 11(147)
City hospital	23(27.2) A(4.3)	7(9.2)
City nospital	4(4.5)	7(9.3)
Distribution of acadomic titles	0(0.5)	1(1.5)
Distribution of academic titles	7(76)	2(27)
Associate Drafessor	7(7,0)	2(2.7) 4(E 2)
Associate Professor	7(7.0)	4(5.5)
Chief excistent	10(10.9)	0(0)
	0(0.5)	3(4)
Specialist doctor	62(67.4)	60(80)
Distribution of the prevalence of <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine	45(40.0)	15(20)
Never	45(48.9)	15(20)
1-5 / years	28(30.4)	26(34.7)
6-10 / years	9(9.8)	16(21.3)
> 10 / years	10(10.9)	18(24)
Do you still use the old name (<i>Flavobacterium spp</i> .) for <i>Myroides</i> spp.?		
No	62(67.4)	63(85.1)
Yes	30(32.6)	11(14.9)
<i>Myroides</i> spp. was separated from the genus <i>Flavobacterium</i> in 1996 and renamed a	is a new genus	and contains two
species, <i>M. odoratus</i> and <i>M. odoratimimus</i> , which are human pathogens. Have you hear	rd this informat	ion before?
NO	т •	21(28.8)
Yes	т 	52(/1.2)
When <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth is detected in urine culture, can you identify the col	lony visually or	do you request
Identification?	*	22(55)
Canilot identify (microbiologist: requires routine identification)	*	33(35) 27(45)
	1. 2	27(45)
Do you perform a routine antibiogram when there is <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine cu	Iture?	
No, I consider it resistant and do not perform routine antibiograms	т 	28(46.7)
Yes, I perform routine antibiograms for every growth detected	*	32(53.3)
In case of <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine culture, do you require typing and sensitivity	testing?	JL.
No	62(67.4)	*
Yes	30(32.6)	*
Do you consider <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine culture as a causative agent?		
In case of the pyuria presence, I consider it as a causative agent of infection	30(63.8)	*
I always consider it as a colonization/contamination	17(36.2)	*
What is your approach when you consider <i>Myroides</i> spp. spp. as a causative agent of u	rinary tract infe	ection?
I only have the catheter changed, I don't prescribe antibiotics	29(61.7)	*
I require an antibiogram and prescribe antibiotics based on the results	10(21.3)	*
I prescribe antibiotics even if it is resistant	2(4.2)	*
I perform a bladder irrigation	2(4.3)	*
I have the catheter changed, I prescribe antibiotics based on the results of the antibiogram	4(8.5)	*

Table 1. General characteristics of the participants and distribution of their responses to some questions

*This question has not been asked.

Black Sea Journal of Health Science

Table 2 Evaluation of	the responses give	n hy IDCM	specialists based	on the types of the	ir hosnitals
	the responses give	II Dy IDCM	specialists based	on the types of the	in nospitais

I U	5 1		51			
	Training and research hospital n (%)	State hospital n (%)	University hospital n (%)	City hospital n (%)	Private hospital n (%)	Р
Distribution of the prevalence of Myroides s	pp. growth in uri	ne				
Never	12(42.9)	12(41.4)	15(60)	1(25)	5(83.3)	.496
1-5 / years	8(28.6)	11(37.9)	8(32)	1(25)	0	
6-10 / years	4(14.3)	2(6.9)	1(4)	1(25)	1(16.7)	
> 10 /years	4(14.3)	4(13.8)	1(4)	1(25)	0	
Do you still use the old name (Flavobacteria	um spp.) for Myra	oides spp.?				
No	21(75)	18(62.1)	17(68)	2(50)	4(66.7)	.808.
Yes	7(25)	11(37.9)	8(32)	2(50)	2(33.3)	
In case of <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine cult	ture, do you requ	ire typing an	d sensitivity te	esting?		
No	10(62.5)	9(52.9)	3(30)	2(66.7)	1(100)	.487
Yes	6(37.5)	8(47.1)	7(70)	1(33.3)	0	
Do you consider <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in ur	ine culture as a c	ausative ager	nt?			
In case of the pyuria presence, I		_				
consider it as a causative agent of	11(68.8)	12(70.6)	4(40)	2(66.7)	1(100)	.507
infection						
I always consider it as a colonization/contamination	5(31.3)	5(29.4)	6(60)	1(33.3)	0	
What is your approach when you consider	Myroides spp. spj	o. as a causati	ive agent of ur	inary tract i	nfection?	
I only have the catheter changed, I don't prescribe antibiotics	11(68.8)	9(52.9)	5(50)	3(100)	1(100)	.507
I require an antibiogram and prescribe antibiotics based on the results	4(25)	4(23.5)	2(20)	0	0	
I prescribe antibiotics even if it is	1(6.3)	1(5.9)	0	0	0	
resistant	_(0.0)	_(0.17)		-	-	
I perform a bladder irrigation	0	0	2(20)	0	0	
I have the catheter changed, I prescribe	2			0	2	
antibiotics based on the results of the antibiogram	0	3(17.6)	1(10)	0	0	

Table 3. Distribution of the responses given by IDCM specialists based on their academic titles

		- 14 1				
	Specialist	Doctoral faculty	Chief	Associate	Professor	Р
	doctor	member	assistant	Professor		_
Distribution of the prevalence of <i>Myroide</i>	s spp. growth	in urine				
Never	29(46.8)	4(40)	5(83.3)	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	.774
1-5 / years	19(30.6)	4(40)	1(16.7)	3(42.9)	1(14.3)	
6-10 / years	7(11.3)	1(10)	0	1(14.3)	0	
> 10 / years	7(11.3)	1(10)	0	0	2(28.6)	
Do you still use the old name (Flavobacter	rium spp.) for	r Myroides spp.?				
No	40(64.5)	7(70)	4(66.7)	6(85.7)	5(71.4)	.861
Yes	22(35.5)	3(30)	2(33.3)	1(14.3)	2(28.6)	
In case of <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine c	ulture, do yo	u require typing an	d sensitivity	v testing?		
No	18(54.5)	3(50)	0	1(25)	3(100)	.324
Yes	15(45.5)	3(50)	1(100)	3(75)	0	
What is your approach when you conside	er Myroides s	pp. spp. as a causati	ive agent of	urinary tract	infection?	
In case of the pyuria presence, I	2		U	5		
consider it as a causative agent of	22(66.7)	2(33.3)	1(100)	4(100)	1(33.3)	.139
infection						
I always consider it as a	11(22.2)		0	0	$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}))$	
colonization/contamination	11(33.3)	4(66.7)	0	0	2(66.7)	
What is your approach when you conside	er <i>Myroides</i> s	pp. spp. as a causati	ive agent of	urinary tract	infection?	
I only have the catheter changed, I		F(02.2)	0	2(50)	2(100)	(70
don't prescribe antibiotics	19(57.6)	5(83.3)	0	2(50)	3(100)	.678
I prescribe antibiotics based on the		0			2	
results of an antibiogram	7(21.2)	0	1(100)	2(50)	0	
I prescribe antibiotics even if it is	244	0	0		2	
resistant	2(6)	0	0		0	
I perform a bladder irrigation	1(3)	1(16.7)	0	0	0	
I have the catheter changed. I	(-)					
require an antibiogram and decide						
on the antibiotics based on the	4(12.1)	0	0	0	0	
results thereof						

BSJ Health Sci / Esmeray MUTLU YILMAZ et al.

Black Sea Journal of Health Science

	Specialist	Doctoral faculty	Chief	Associate				
	doctor	member	assistant	Professor	Professor	Р		
How often do you see Myroides spp. (Fla	vobacterium)	growth in urine?						
Never	12(20)	2(33.3)	0	0	1(50)	.739		
1-5 / years	18(30)	2(33.3)	2(66.7)	3(75)	1(50)			
6-10 / years	14(23.3)	1(16.7)	0	1(25)	0			
> 10 / years	16(26.7)	1(16.7)	1(33.3)	0	0			
Do you still use the old name (Flavobact	<i>erium</i> spp.) fo	r Myroides spp.?						
No	49(83.1)	6(100)	3(100)	4(100)	1(50)	.292		
Yes	10(16.9)	0	0	0	1(50)			
Myroides spp. was separated from the ge	enus <i>Flavobac</i>	<i>terium</i> in 1996 and	renamed as	a new genus	s and contain	s two		
species, M. odoratus and M. odoratimimu	<i>is,</i> which are h	uman pathogens. H	lave you hea	ard this infor	mation befor	e?		
No	19(32.2)	1(16.7)	1(33.3)	0	0	.679		
Yes	40(67.8)	5(83.3)	2(66.7)	4(100)	1(100)			
When <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth is detected	in urine cultu	re, can you identify	the colony v	visually or do	you request			
identification?								
I cannot identify and require								
routine identification.	23(50)	3(60)	2(66.7)	3(75)	2(100)	.911		
I can identify and do not require								
routine identification.	23(50)	2(40)	1(33.3)	1(25)	0			
Do you perform a routine antibiogram v	Do you perform a routine antibiogram when there is <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth in urine culture?							
No, I consider it resistant and do								
not perform routine antibiograms	23(47.9)	1(25)	3(100)	1(25)	0	.237		
Yes, I perform routine								
antibiograms for every growth	antibiograms for every growth							
detected	25(52.1)	3(75)	0	3(75)	1(100)			

Table 4. Distribution of the responses given by MM specialists based on their academic titles

Table 5. Comparison of the years of expertise in specialization of the participants based on their responses

	MM specialist		IDCM specialist	
	Mean±SD (years)	Р	(years)	Р
Do you still use the old name (Flavobacterium spp.) for Myroid	des spp.?			
No	10.19±6.33	.389	9.39±7.48	.914
Yes	12.09±8.71		9.20±8.33	
Myroides spp. was separated from the genus Flavobacterium in	n 1996 and renamed as	s a new ge	nus and contains	s two
species, <i>M. odoratus</i> and <i>M. odoratimimus</i> , which are human p	athogens. Have you he	ard this in	formation befor	e?
No	8.95±4.66	.293		
Yes	10.63±6.63			
When <i>Myroides</i> spp. growth is detected in urine culture, can y	ou identify the colony v	visually or	do you request	
identification?				
I cannot identify and require routine identification	11.33±7.38	.168	11.48±9.45	.069
I can identify and do not require routine identification	9.11±5.01		7.23±5.37	
Do you perform a routine antibiogram when there is <i>Myroides</i>	s spp. growth in urine c	ulture?		
No, I consider it resistant and do not perform routine				
antibiograms	9.07±5.21	.140		
Yes, I perform routine antibiograms for every growth				
detected	11.41±6.65			
How often do you see <i>Myroides</i> spp. (<i>Flavobacterium</i>) growth	in urine?			
Never (15)	11.40±8.87	.733	9.16±7.47	.524
1-5 / years (26)	9.58±5.74		8.11±5.31	
6-10 / years (16)	11.69±7.15		11.33±8.39	
> 10 / years (18)	10.17±5.68		11.70±12.9	
In case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, do you requin	re typing and sensitivit	y testing?		
No (25)			11.48±9.45	.069
Yes (22)			7.23±5.37	
What is your approach when you consider Myroides spp. spp.	as a causative agent of	urinary tr	act infection?	
In case of the pyuria presence, I consider it as a causative				
agent of infection (30)			9.67 <u>+</u> 6.34	.843
I always consider it as a colonization/contamination (17)			9.18±10.58	
SD: Standard deviation, The number in parentheses indicates the num	ber of physicians who res	ponded to t	hat question.	

4. Discussion

Although Myroides spp. growth in urine cultures is not very common, it is a condition that should be well known to specialists as it may lead to serious infections and is difficult to treat due to the inherent resistance of Myroides species to many antibiotics (Hu et al., 2016; Gunzer et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2023). In our study, the knowledge and attitudes of specialists about Myroides spp. growth in urine culture were evaluated for the first time in the literature. In our study, the knowledge and attitudes among IDCM and MM specialists who would initially have to deal with *Myroides* spp. growth especially in urine culture were examined and it was found that there were many different opinions and attitudes both between and within the branches.

In our study, it was noted that the mean years of postspecialization experience were approximately 10 years. This finding shows that the specialists participating in our study were well experienced. When this is considered together with the number of participants, it can be suggested that the findings obtained in our study may be representative of experienced IDCM and MM specialists. In our study, the mean years of experience in specialization of the participants were also found to be similar based on the responses given. This finding also suggests that the knowledge or attitudes of the specialists participating in our study may not be directly related to the years of experience in specialization.

Cases of infection caused by Myroides species are rare. Myroides spp. growth, especially in urine cultures, is even rarer and in some cases, it is considered as colonization or contamination and not accepted as a causative agent of infection (Ktari et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2016). In our study, 48.9% of IDCM specialists indicated that they had never seen *Myroides* spp. growth in urine samples. It is very interesting to note that about half of IDCM specialists have never seen Myroides spp. growth in urine culture. In addition, it is an unexpected finding that 60% of infection specialists working in university hospitals, where a wide variety of cases may be encountered, and 41.4% of IDCM specialists working in training and research hospitals have never seen *Myroides* spp. growth. Moreover, in our study, only 20% of MM specialists admitted that they had not seen any Myroides spp. growth. The large difference between IDCM and MM specialists may be explained by the fact that the microbiologists and infectious diseases physicians participating in the study were not from the same hospital because whether a IDCM specialist may or may not observe the agent depends directly on the ability of the MM specialist working in the same hospital to identify such agent. Furthermore, the possibility that some of the MM specialists may have considered Myroides spp. growth in urine culture as contamination and did not report it as Myroides spp. in the culture result may also explain this difference. In addition, the fact that the mean years of experience in specialization and the distribution of specialists based on academic titles were similar between those who had and had not seen Myroides spp. growth before suggests that Myroides spp. experience is not directly related to years of experience in specialization or whether or not being an academic. This suggests that it may be endemic in certain regions, may be seen by physicians of all academic titles in endemic regions, and may be overlooked in sporadic regions.

The bacterial genus formerly known as Flavobacterium due to its fruit odor was renamed Myroides spp. in 1996 and two species, M. odoratus and M. odoratimimus, were identified (Yaci et al., 2000; Hu et al., 2016; Beharrysingh, 2017). In our study, 28.8% of MM specialists indicated that they did not know that the name of Flavobacterium had changed to Myroides spp. and that there are two species as human pathogens. Furthermore, knowledge of the Myroides spp. name change was found to be similar across all academic titles and the mean years of experience in specialization were similar between those who knew thereabout and those who did not. In our study, 32.6% of IDCM specialists and 14.9% of MM specialists indicated that they still use Flavobacterium, the old name for Myroides spp.. Given that specialists unaware of the name change would understandably not use the new name, these findings primarily suggest that some specialists are not aware that *Myroides* spp. has two human pathogenic species. Considering that the name change was made before the 2000, these findings may be indicative of a deficiency in IDCM and MM specialty training or a failure of specialists to keep abreast of some current issues. The study also found that the rate of knowledge about the Myroides spp. name change was similar across all hospitals or academic titles, indicating that insufficient knowledge is not related to the hospital or years of experience.

Members of the genus Myroides spp. are aerobic, nonmotile, yellow pigmented, oxidase-positive, gramnegative, rod-shaped bacteria. Because of the flexirubin it produces, it generates a characteristic strawberry-like odor with yellow colony morphology in sheep blood growth medium (Holmes et al., 1977; Licker et al., 2018). Colonies on blood agar appear round, mucoid, yellow pigmented. Its growth characteristics and typical odor facilitate visual identification. In our study, 45% of the medical microbiologists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth indicated that they could recognize the Myroides spp. colony visually. The study also found that the years of experience in specialization were similar between those who claimed to be able to identify Myroides spp. colony and those who claimed not. This finding may indicate that the ability to identify Myroides spp. colony is not directly related to the experience in the specialization.

To date, several studies have reported outbreaks of Myroides-associated urinary tract infections in hospitals, some of which involved urinary tract stones or cancer, catheterization or surgery (Yaci et al., 2000; Ktari et al., 2012; Licker et al., 2018; Kutlu et al., 2020). Ahamed et al.

BSJ Health Sci / Esmeray MUTLU YILMAZ et al.

(Ahamed et al., 2018) reported that the patient did not respond to treatment and died in a case of urinary tract infection caused by *Myroides* spp.. In our study, 63.8% of infectious disease specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth stated that they considered it as a causative agent of infection in the presence of accompanying pyuria, while 36.2% thereof indicated that they always considered it as colonization/contamination with or without pyuria. Considering that the acceptance of *Myroides* spp. growth in urine culture as a causative agent of infection may depend on the patient's clinical presentation and the presence of pyuria, it is remarkable that approximately one third of infectious disease specialists consider Myroides spp. growth in urine as definite colonization/contamination regardless of the patient's clinical presentation or the presence of pyuria.

Myroides species have been reported to have multiple antibiotic resistance due to two metallo-beta lactamases intrinsically called MUS-1 and TUS-1, as well as a resistance island in the bacterial chromosome containing several resistance genes such as *tetX* (associated with tetracycline resistance), cat (associated with chloramphenicol) and bla-OVA-347 and bla-OXA-209 (associated with beta lactam resistance), but show variable susceptibility profiles to beta lactams, quinolones and carbapenems (Lorenzin et al., 2018; LaVergne et al., 2019). Gunzer et al. showed that the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, erythromycin, azithromycin, rifampicin, ceftazidime and cefepime were low in Myroides isolates (Gunzer et al., 2018). Hu et al., on the other hand, reported that all of the isolates obtained from urine culture were sensitive to trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (Hu et al., 2016). Besides, it has been reported that Myroides isolates are mainly resistant to aztreonam, ceftazidime, cefepime, piperacillintazobactam and imipenem, while susceptibility rates to meropenem, ampicillin and ciprofloxacin are variable (Gunzer et al., 2018). O'neal et al. compiled the reported studies and reported that Myroides isolates were highly susceptible to minocycline, moxifloxacin and tigecycline (O'Neal et al., 2022). In some cases, the isolated agent was reported to be pan-resistant (Faraz et al., 2022; Kurt et al., 2022). In our study, 46.7% of MM specialists who had previously seen Myroides spp. growth indicated that they routinely performed antibiograms in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture. Additionally, the mean years of experience in specialization were similar between those who stated routinely performing and not performing antibiograms in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine. These findings suggest that MM specialists disagree by about half that Myroides spp. is inherently resistant to antibiotics. We think that the reason of this difference is that there is still no standardized antibiotic susceptibility test (AST) interpretation for Myroides spp.. Antibiotic susceptibility testing for Myroides spp. is performed according to CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) or EUCAST (European Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing) and evaluated according to the recommended zone diameters or MIC result criteria for Enterobactericae group bacteria. This leads to the fact that MM specialists do not adopt a common approach to the identification of *Myroides* spp. In the study, 32.6% of IDCM specialists indicated that they requested typing and sensitivity testing in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture, and the years of experience in specialization were found to be statistically similar between those who requested and not requested typing and antibiograms. In addition, among IDCM specialists who considered Myroides spp. as the causative agent of infection, 61.7% stated that they only changed the catheter without antibiotics, 21.3% gave antibiotics according to the antibiogram result, and approximately 13% made a decision that varies based on the antibiotic treatment. These findings demonstrate that even in cases where IDCM specialists considered Myroides spp. as the causative agent of infection, antibiotic selection was based on the antibiogram result in one out of every five cases. This represents a very low rate of 10.9% (10/92) compared to the total number of participants. However, even this low rate may imply that the decision of half of MM specialists not to perform antibiograms should be discussed. In the study, the fact that the years of experience in specialization were similar between those who considered and not considered Myroides spp. growth in urine as colonization/contamination suggests that this approach is not directly related to the experience in specialization. Moreover, although the distribution of the number of IDCM specialists who requested typing and antibiograms in case of Myroides spp. growth in urine culture did not show a statistically significant difference in terms of hospital type, the difference in the rates of requesting typing and antibiograms between those working in training and research hospitals and those working in university hospitals is noteworthy (37.5% vs. 70%). This finding may suggest that the attitude to request further testing in case of Myroides spp. growth may vary according to the type of hospital where the specialist works. In fact, automated identification and susceptibility systems for the typing of *Myroides* spp. are not available in all hospitals. The MALDI-TOF MS system for species-level identification is only available in some university hospitals.

There were some limitations in our study. Since the study was survey-based and it may be difficult and restrictive to question, if questioned, which of the participants work in the same hospital and/or how long they have been working together, such information was not obtained. Accordingly, the differences in knowledge and attitudes between MM specialists and IDCM specialists working in the same hospital in case of *Myroides* spp. growth in urine culture could not be evaluated.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the knowledge and attitudes of IDCM and MM specialists regarding *Myroides* spp. growth in urine culture. According to the findings of our study,

approximately half of the IDCM specialists have never seen *Myroides* spp. growth in urine culture, some of the specialists may have low level of knowledge about *Myroides* spp., and the approaches adopted by the specialists in case of *Myroides* spp. growth in urine are not directly related to the hospital where they work and their years of experience in specialization. Our findings suggest that medical microbiology and infectious diseases specialists should keep abreast of their knowledge on *Myroides* spp.

Author Contributions

The percentage of the author contributions is presented below. The author reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

	E.M.Y.	M.B	Ö.G.
С	50	25	25
D	70	20	10
S			100
DCP	80	20	
DAI	60	20	20
L	60	40	
W	80	10	10
CR	10	10	80
SR	100		
PM	40	30	30
FA	40	30	30

C=Concept, D= design, S= supervision, DCP= data collection and/or processing, DAI= data analysis and/or interpretation, L= literature search, W= writing, CR= critical review, SR= submission and revision, PM= project management, FA= funding acquisition.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval/Informed Consent

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and This prospective, survey-based study was approved by the Samsun University, Clinical Research Ethics Committee (approval date: December 13, 2022 and protocol code: SÜKAEK-2022.12/13).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all our colleagues who filled out the study questionnaire.

References

- Ahamed I, Annapandian VM, Muralidhara KD. 2018. *Myroides* odoratimimusurinary tract infection. Saudi J Kidney Diseases Transplant, 29: 1220-1222.
- Beathard WA, Pickering A, Jacobs M. 2021. *Myroides* cellulitis and bacteremia: a case report. ID Cases, 24: e01061.
- Beharrysingh R, 2017. *Myroides* bacteremia: a case report and concise review. ID Cases, 8: 34-36.

Büyüktuna SA, Öz M, Öksüz C, Hasbek M. 2021. Septhic arthritis caused by *Myroides* odoratimimus: A Case Report. Flora İnfek

Hastalıkları Klin Mikrobiyol Derg, 26: 778-781.

- Crum-Cianflone N, Matson R, Ballon-Landa G. 2014. Fatal case of necrotizing fasciitis due to *Myroides* odoratus. Infection, 42: 931-935.
- Faraz A, Fathima K, Kazmi SY, Al Motery AS, Ghaffar UB, Farhan MA. 2022. Recurrent urinary tract infection in a renal transplant patient by pan-resistant *Myroides* spp. J Coll Physicians Surg,32: S34-S36.
- Gunzer F, Rudolph WW, Bunk B, Schober I, Peters S, Müller T, Oberheitmann B, Schröttner P. 2018. Whole-genome sequencing of a large collection of *Myroides* odoratimimus and *Myroides* odoratus isolates and antimicrobial susceptibility studies. Emerging Microb Infect, 7: 1-8.
- Holmes B, Snell J, Lapage S. 1977. Revised description from clinical isolates of Flavobacterium odoratum Stutzer and Kwaschnina 1929 and designation of the neotype strain. Inter J System Evolution Microbiol, 27: 330-336.
- Hu S.-h, Yuan S.-x, Qu H, Jiang T, Zhou Y.-j, Wang M.-x, Ming D.-s. 2016. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms of *Myroides* sp. J Zhejiang Univ Sci, B, 17: 188.
- Khan U, Pandey E, Gandham N, Das N, Mukhida S, Kannuri S, Bhaumik S, Mirza S. 2023. A case series and literature review of infections due to *Myroides* spp.: Identification of contributing factors and emerging antibiotic susceptibility trends. Access Microbiol, 5: 000549.
- Ktari S, Mnif B, Koubaa M, Mahjoubi F, Jemaa MB, Mhiri M, Hammami A. 2012. Nosocomial outbreak of *Myroides* odoratimimus urinary tract infection in a Tunisian hospital. J Hospital Infect, 80: 77-81.
- Kurt AF, Mete B, Houssein FM, Tok Y, Kuskucu MA, Yucebag E, Urkmez S, Tabak F, Aygun G. 2022. A pan-resistant *Myroides* odoratimimus catheter-related bacteremia in a COVID-19 patient and review of the literature. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung, 2022: 21.
- Kutlu HH, Avci M, Dal T, Ari O, Durmaz R. 2020. A healthcareassociated outbreak of urinary tract infections due to *Myroides* odoratimimus. Japanese J Infect Diseases, 73: 421-426.
- LaVergne S, Gaufin T, Richman D. 2019. *Myroides* injenensis bacteremia and severe cellulitis. Open Forum Infect Diseas, OFZ: 282.
- Li H, Li Y, Xian X. 2010. Three isolates of *Myroides* sp. from middle urinary tract. Clin Focus, 25: 1125.
- Licker M, Sorescu T, Rus M, Cirlea N, Horhat F, Jurescu C, Botoca M, Cumpănaș A, Timar R, Muntean D. 2018. Extensively drugresistant *Myroides* odoratimimus–a case series of urinary tract infections in immunocompromised patients. Infect Drug Resist, 11: 743.
- Lorenzin G, Piccinelli G, Carlassara L, Scolari F, Caccuri F, Caruso A, De Francesco MA. 2018. *Myroides* odoratimimus urinary tract infection in an immunocompromised patient: an emerging multidrug-resistant micro-organism. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control, 7: 1-6.
- O'Neal M, Labay CE, Harris JE, Musick WL, Cernoch PL, Grimes KA, Lin J, Shah S, Ramos-Salazar E. 2022. Extensively drugresistant *Myroides* odoratus in critically ill patients: A case series and literature review. Case Rep Infect Dis, 2022: 6422861.
- Wuer G, Aisi K, Gu H. 2002. One cases Flavobacterium odoratum isolated from urine separation. J Clin Lab, 20: 44.
- Yaci A, Çerikçiolu N, Kaufmann M, Malnick H, Söyletir G, Babacan F, Pitt T. 2000. Molecular typing of *Myroides* odoratimimus (Flavobacterium odoratum) urinary tract infections in a Turkish hospital. European J Clin Microbiol Infect Diseases, 19: 731.