CMI Original Research September 2015, Volume: 37, Number: 3

Cumhuriyet Medical Journal

213-219

http://dx.doi.org/10.7197/cmj.v37i3.5000083270

A study to compare dexamethasone and ondansetron for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy

Tonsillektomi yapılan çocuklarda postoperative bulantı ve kusmayı önlemek için deksametazon ve ondansetronun karşılaştırmalı bir çalışması

Savita Saini¹, Punit Mehta¹, *Teena Bansal¹, Susheela Taxak¹

¹Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, Pt. B.D. Sharma University of Health Sciences, Rohtak, India. Corresponding author: Dr. Teena bansal, 2/8 FM, Medical Campus, PGIMS, Rohtak (Haryana) 124 001 India. **E-mail:** aggarwalteenu@rediffmail.com Received/Accepted: December 25, 2014/July 05, 2015

Conflict of interest: There is not a conflict of interest.

SUMMARY

Objective: Various drugs have been used as antiemetics for treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting. The present study was undertaken to assess the efficacy of ondansetron and dexamethasone for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomies.

Method: Sixty children having ASA physical status Grade I or II in the age group 4-12 years undergoing tonsillectomy under general anaesthesia were included in the study. These were allocated to three groups of twenty patient each. After induction patients were given test solution A (2 mL) comprising of normal saline or dexamethasone 500 µg/kg or 150 µg/kg in Group I, II and III respectively. At the end of surgery before switching off anaesthetics, test solution B (2 mL) was given comprising of ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg (maximum upto 4 mg) or normal saline or ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg (maximum upto 2 mg). Postoperatively incidence of nausea, number of vomiting episodes, frequency of administration of rescue antiemetic and analgesic were recorded.

Results: In Group II 80% of patients had nausea as compared to 70% in Group I and 50% in group III and the difference between Group II and III was statistically significant (p<0.05). 30% of the patients had vomiting in Group I and II and only 15% of the patients in Group III. The frequency of rescue analgesic among the three groups was comparable except at 0.5 hours. 50% of patients showed complete response in Group III, as compared to 30% and 20% in Group I and Group II respectively.

Conclusion: The combined regimen of ondansetron with dexamethasone was more efficacious as an antiemetic in controlling postoperative nausea and vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy.

Keywords: Postoperative nausea and vomiting, dexamethasone, ondansetron, tonsillectomy

ÖZET

Amaç: Postoperatif bulantı ve kusmanın tedavisi için antiemetic olarak çeşitli ilaçlar kullanılmaktadır. Bu çalışmada tonsillektomi yapılan çocuklarda postoperative bulantı ve kusmayı önlemek için ondansetron ve deksametazonun etkinliği değerlendirilmektedir.

Yöntem: Genel anestezi altında tonsillektomi yapılan 4-12 yaşları arasında Grade I ve Grade II ASA fiziksel durumuna sahip 60 çocuk çalışmaya dahil edildi. Bunlar her biri 20'şer kişiden oluşan üç gruba ayrıldı. İndüksiyondan sonra sırasıyla Grup I, Grup II ve Grup III'deki hastalara normal salin ya da deksametazon 500 µg/kg ya da 150 µg/kg'dan oluşan test solüsyonu A (2 mL) verildi. Cerrahinin sonunda, anestezikleri "switch" yapmadan önce test solüsyonu B (2 mL) At the end of surgery before switching off anaesthetics, ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg (maksimum 4 mg) ya da



Bulgular: Grup II'de hastaların %80'inde, Grup I'deki %70 ve Grup III'deki %50 ile karşılaştırıldığında bulantıya sahipti. Grup II ve III arasındaki fark istatistiksel olarak anlamlı idi (p<0.05). Grup I ve II'de hastaların %30'unda, Grup III'deki hastaların %15'inde kusma vardı. Her üç grupta analjezikten kurtarma sıklığı ilk yarım saatte aynı idi. Grup III'de hastaların %50'si, Grup I ve II'de ise sırasıyla %30 ve %20'si tam yanıt gösterdi.

Sonuç: Deksametazonla ondansetronun combine rejimi tonsillektomi yapılan çocuklarda posoperatif bulantı ve kusmanın kontrolünde bir antiemetik olarak daha etkilidir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Postoperatif bulantı ve kusma, deksametazon, ondansetron, tonsillektomi

INTRODUCTION

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) poses problems for patients undergoing all types of procedures requiring anaesthesia or sedation. The incidence of PONV ranges from 1%-43%. It has led to one third of unexpected hospital admissions among paediatric patients after ambulatory surgery, delayed discharge and increased cost of care¹⁻³.

There are methods both pharmacological as well as non pharmacological to deal with the problem of PONV. Various classes of drugs are used as antiemetics for the prevention and treatment of PONV. The 5hydroxytryptamine (5 HT3) antagonist which include drugs such as granisetron, tropisetron and ondansetron have been found to be more effective than the other groups of antiemetics like butyrophenones (droperidol), anticholinergics (hyoscine), antidopaminergic like metoclopramide^{1, 4}. Ondansetron is not associated with side effects like sedation, drowsiness, drug interaction and extrapyramidal symptoms as occurring with other antiemetics.

Dexamethasone is effective in decreasing the incidence of PONV in patients undergoing tonsillectomy, abdominal hysterectomy, thyroidectomy and cholecystectomy. Dexamethasone may potentiate the main effect of other antiemetics by sensitizing the pharmacological receptors^{5, 6}.

The present study was conducted to compare the effect of ondansetron, dexamethasone or combination of the two on postoperative nausea and vomiting in children being operated for tonsillectomies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care,

Pt. B. D. Sharma PGIMS Rohtak after obtaining approval from the Institutional Research/Ethical

A total of 60 children having ASA physical status Grade I or II in the age group 4-12 years undergoing tonsillectomy under general anaesthesia were included in the study. Written informed consent from the parents/ guardians was taken.

Patients with history of preoperative nausea and vomiting in the 24 hours prior to surgery, hypersensitivity to study drugs, diseases prolonging gastric emptying e.g. pyloric stenosis, sleep apnea and congenital anomalies were excluded. In addition patients on antiemetics were also excluded. Children were kept fasting for 6 hours for solids and 2 hours for clear fluids.

On arrival of patient in the operating room, preoperative vital parameters including pulse rate, blood pressure and respiratory rate were recorded. Anaesthesia was induced with oxygen, nitrous oxide and halothane via face mask and intravenous line was secured or in some cases anaesthesia was induced by first securing intravenous line and using thiopentone sodium 4-5 mg/kg. Intubation of trachea was facilitated using vecuronium bromide (0.1 mg/kg). Anaesthesia was maintained with halothane and 67% nitrous oxide in oxygen. Intraoperatively analgesia was provided with pethidine 1 mg/kg intravenoualy after induction. All the children were allocated to three groups of twenty each, using coded slips. After induction patients were given test solution A (2 mL) comprising of normal saline or dexamethasone 500 µg/kg or 150 µg/kg in Group I, II and III respectively. Throughout the procedure, vital parameters and oxygen saturation were recorded. At the end of surgical procedure before switching off anaesthetics, test solution B (2 mL) was given comprising of ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg (maximum upto 4 mg) or normal saline or ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg (maximum upto 2 mg). Anaesthesia was reversed with neostigmine 0.05mg/kg and atropine 0.02 mg/kg. On ensuring satisfactory recovery from anaesthesia patients were shifted to recovery room where they were observed for 2 hours. Nausea, vomiting and pain were recorded every 30 minutes. Then the patients were shifted to ward where these parameters were recorded every 3 hours for 12 hours (i.e. at 3, 6, 9 and 12 hours postoperatively) and then at 18 and 24 hours. Mild to moderate pain was treated with tablet nimuselide (dispersible) 50 mg postoperatively and severe pain was treated with pethidine 1 mg/kg alongwith promethazine 0.5 mg/kg intramuscularly. Evaluation of the incidence of PONV was carried out by a numeric scoring system. Emetic episode was considered as a single vomit or retching or a combination of the vomit in one minute.

- 0-No nausea/ vomiting
- 1-Nausea only, no emetic episode
- 2-Emetic episode once
- 3-Emetic episode twice or more or requiring rescue antiemetic

Ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg intravenous was given as rescue antiemetic both in the recovery room and in the ward after first emetic episode or persistent nausea. The frequency and total dose of rescue antiemetic given was also recorded.

Pain was measured by 11 point 10 cm visual analogue numerical scale where 0-no pain, 1-3-mild pain, 4-6-moderate pain and 7-10- severe pain. Response to the study drug was defined as complete response- no nausea and no vomiting episode, moderate response- nausea or one emetic episode, failure of response- persistent nausea or two or greater than two emetic episodes or use of rescue antiemetics

Statistical analysis

The data obtained was analysed using statistical package for social science (SPSS version 16 Inc., USA) evaluation version. Data were expressed as means, standard devation and percentages. The categorical variables were analysed using "Chi square test", while the intergroup comparison of the parametric data (age, weight, duration of fasting for solids and liquids and duration of anaesthesia) was done using students unpaired "t" test. Comparison of incidence of nausea at different time intervals in postoperative period, number of postoperative vomiting episodes at different time intervals, frequency of administration of rescue analgesic, rescue antiemetic and efficacy data of different study groups were done using "Chi-square test" and Fisher's exact test. The p value was determined to finally evaluate the levels of significance p<0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Age difference between Group I and Group II was significant with p=0.017. Mean weight and sex ratio among the three groups were comparable. Mean duration of fasting hours for solids and liquids and mean duration of anaesthesia were comparable in all the three groups as shown in Table 1.

Variables		Group I (n=20)	Group II (n=20)	Group III (n=20)
		Mean ± S D	Mean ± S D	Mean ± S D
Age (years)		7.33±2.39	9.23±2.44*	8.05±2.63
Weight (kg)		21.35±5.12	23.20±5.74	20.45±5.04
Sex	Male	16	13	16
	Female	4	7	4
Duration of fasting for solids (hours)		9.78±1.30	9.99±1.66	9.95±1.74
Duration of f	asting for liquids (hours)	8.77±1.51	8.40±1.53	8.12±1.39
Duration of a	maesthesia (minutes)	48.7±9.44	44.55±15.53	46.40±15.87
For age and	weight - Students unpaired "t	" test; for sex- Chi-sq	uare test; *p<0.05 (sig	mificant); for duration of
fasting and a	naesthesia-Students unpaired	't' test		

Table 1. Demographic verial	blag dynation	of footing and	anaathaaia
Table 1: Demographic varial	pies, duration	of fasting and	anaestnesia.

215

Time interval	Incidence of nausea at different time inter-					
(Hours)	vals in pos	stoperative p	eriod	sodes at different time intervals		
	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group I	Group II	Group III
0	5	11	7	4	3	3
0.5	4	8	4	2	3	1
1.0	2	7	2	0	1	1
1.5	3	3	0	1	0	0
2.0	2	4	2	0	0	0
3.0	1	2	0	0	0	0
6.0	3	2	1	1	1	0
9.0	4	1	0	1	0	0
12.0	2	0	0	0	0	0
18.0	2	0	0	0	0	0
24.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of	14/20	16/20	10/20 (50%);	6/20 (30%)	6/20 (30%)	3/20 (15%)
patients#	(70%)	(80%)	PIIvsIII=0.0467			

Table 2: Incidence of nausea and number of postoperative vomiting episodes.

Chi square test; observed p > 0.05; #Fisher's exact test; observed p < 0.05 significant. Chi square test; observed p>0.05; #Fisher's exact test; observed p>0.05

Response	Grade PONV	Group I	Group II	GroupIII	#p value
Complete	0	6 (30%)	4 (20%)	10 (50%)	<pre>#pIIvsIII=0.04; *pIIvsIII=0.04</pre>
Moderate	1	7 10	9 10(50%)	69	NS
	2	3 (50%)	1	3 (45%)	
Failure	3	4 (20%)	6 (30%)	1 (5%)	#pIIvsIII=0.03

11 2 500 • 0 1100

In Group II 80% of patients had nausea as compared to 70% in Group I and 50% in Group III and the difference between Group II and III was statistically significant (p<0.05). In Group II more patients received rescue antiemetics in the early postoperative period so the incidence of nausea was less in late postoperative period as compared to Group I where there were more patients who had nausea in late postoperative period (Table 2). It was observed that 30% of the patients had vomiting in Group I and II and only 15% of the patients in Group III but the difference was not statistically significant. In Group III none of the patients vomited after initial 1 hour period postoperatively (Table 2).

The frequency of administration of rescue antiemetic among the three groups was comparable. It was observed that more patients in Group I required rescue antiemetics in late postoperative period as compared to group II in which rescue antiemetic was required in early postoperative period. Overall more patients in Group II required rescue antiemetic (30%) as com-

pared to Group I (20%) and Group III (10%).

The frequency of rescue analgesic among the three groups was comparable except at 0.5 hours in Group I where 5 patients required rescue analgesic as compared to none in Group III. It was observed that 50% of the patients in Group I required rescue analgesics as compared to 40% in group II and 30% in Group III.

It was observed that there was more incidence of abdominal discomfort in Group I (20%), while there was more incidence of headache in Group III (15%) respectively. In Group II one patient had abdominal discomfort. Distribution of these complaints were comparable in the three groups.

There were more number of patients who showed complete response i.e. no nausea and vomiting, in Group III (50%), as compared to group I and Group II i.e. 30% and 20% respectively and the difference between Group II and III was statistically significant. It was also observed that the overall response between Group II and III was statistically significantly different (Group II vs III p=0.04). More number of patients in Group II (30%) showed failure response. In Group I it was 20% and in Group III 5% of patients and the difference between Group II and III was significant (p<0.05) Table 3.

DISCUSSION

PONV has a complex and multifactorial etiology and there are certain recognized contributory factors like patient factors, type of surgical procedure and anaesthetic technique. Within the paediatric population, postoperative emesis increases with age to reach a peak incidence in the preadolescent (11-14 years) age group. The incidence of PONV in children undergoing tonsillectomy ranges from 35%-75%^{7,8}.

PONV poses problems for patients undergoing all types of procedures requiring anaesthesia or sedation. The surgeries associated with increased incidence of nausea and vomiting in children include adenotonsillectomy, strabismus surgery, hernia repair and orchidopexy. Anderson and Krohg have suggested postoperative pain as an important cause of PONV and that relief of pain was assocated with relief of PONV⁹. In another study Stanko et al.¹⁰ reported nausea in 33% of patients on day 3, dropping to 11.6% by day 7 in patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy. A similar trend was observed for postoperative vomiting. A wide variety of pharmacological as well as non pharmacological approaches have been reported but none of them have been able to completely control PONV and are not without the adverse effects.

Ondansetron is a carbazole compound which produces antiemetic and antinauseating effects via selective antagonism of serotonin 3 (5HT3) receptors. Dexamethasone is an effective antiemetic agent. It acts through blockage of corticoreceptors in the nucleus tractus solitarius of CNS and some of the peripheral mechanism.

Sukhani et al.¹¹ in a study of 149 children pretreated with dexamethasone (1 mg/kg) who underwent tonsillectomy and received either ondansetron (0.15 mg/kg) or dolasetron (0.5 mg/kg) found the incidence of vomiting before home discharge to be significantly less (10%) vs placebo (30%). Similar results were obtained at 24-48 hours after discharge (6% in ondansetron group and 18% in placebo group). They concluded that compared to placebo ondansetron showed complete response (no vomiting) in 76% vs 44% in placebo. We used ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg and dexamethasone 0.15 mg/kg. In our study incidence was only 15% which is similar to the study conducted by these authors.

In our study more number of patients in Group I required rescue antiemetics in the late postoperative period as compared to Group II. This was associated with more incidence of pain in the late postoperative period⁹.

The incidence of PONV was significantly less in group III where combination of dexamethasone and ondansetron was used than the patients with one of these drugs. The results of our study are in agreement with other studies conducted by various authors¹²⁻¹⁷.

In our study more patients in Group I required rescue antiemetics in late postoperative period as compared to Group II in which rescue antiemetic was required in early postoperative period. This indicates that dexamethasone is not particularly effective in preventing vomiting occurring early in the postoperative period. Iris et al.^o in his quantitative systematic review of efficacy of dexamethasone concluded that the dexamethasone was more efficacious in preventing nausea in the late postoperative period similar to its effect on late vomiting. Reasons for better late efficacy were unclear. Dexamethasone has a biological half life of 36-72 hours. Thus late efficacy may be a result of favourable pharmacokinetics. Late failure of prophylaxis in the ondansetron group was attributed to the shorter duration of action of ondansetron compared to that of dexamethasone.

The timing of prophylactic antiemetic administration is important. Wang et al.¹⁸ concluded that dexamethasone is more effective when admninistered at induction than when given at the end of anaesthesia⁵. Also ondansetron given at the end of surgery reduces antiemetic requirements more effectively in the postoperative period. So we used dexamethasone at the induction of anaesthesia and ondansetron at the end of surgery.

Figg et al.¹⁹ reported that ondansetron is devoid of any extra pyramidal symptoms and that the most common side effects are headache and sedation. Markham has also reported headache as the most common adverse event associated with ondansetron⁴. In the present study, in the ondansetron alone group only two patients had headache. Goldman et al.²⁰ reported that dexamethasone in a single perioperative dose is not associated with any adverse outcomes. Bellis et al²¹ in a systematic review and meta-analysis did not demonstrate a statistically significant increase in the risk of post tonsillectomy haemorrhage with dexamethasone with/ without NSAID use in children. However, in another study Mahant et al²² reported that dexamethasone use was associated with a small absolute risk of revisits for bleeding yet suggested and supported the recommendations for the routine use of dexamethasone.

In dexamethasone treated patients i.e. Group II, the requirement of rescue analgesic in the late postoperative period (6-24 hours) was least. With its strong anti-inflammatory action, dexamethasone has been shown to decrease postoperative pain²³.

Limitations of our study was that satisfaction of patients with regards to overall management were not assessed. In addition using antiemetics in this way, does raise cost effectiveness issues.

To conclude, the combined regimen of ondansetron with dexamethasone was more efficacious as an antiemetic in controlling postoperative nausea and vomiting in children undergoing tonsillectomy as compared to either of the drugs used alone.

REFERENCES

- 1. Watcha MF, White PF. Postoperative nausea and vomiting: Its etiology, treatment and prevention. Anesthesiology 1992; 77: 162-184.
- 2. Koivuranta M, Laara E, Snare L, Alahukta S. A survey of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesthesia 1997; 52: 443-9.

Patel RI, Hannallah RS. Anesthetic complications following pediatric ambulatory surgery: A 3-yr study. Anesthesiology 1988; 69: 1009-12.

3.

- 4. Markham A, Sorkin EM. Ondansetron: Drugs 1993; 45: 931-52.
- 5. Jhi-Joung W, Shung-Tai H, Jann-Inn T, Chao-Shun T. The effect of timing of dexamethasone administration its efficacy as a prophylactic antiemetic for postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anaesth Analg 2000; 91: 136-9.
- 6. Iris H, Benhard W, Martin TR. Dexamethasone for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting: a quantitative systematic review. Anaesth Analg 2000; 90: 186-94.
- Cohen MM, Cameron CB, Duncan PG. Pediatric anesthesia morbidity and mortality in the perioperative period. Anaesth Analg 1990; 70: 160-7.
- 8. Furst SR, Rodarte A. Prophylactic antiemetic treatment with ondansetron in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Anesthesiology 1994; 81: 799-803.
- 9. Andersen R, Krohg K. Pain as a major cause of postoperative nausea. Canad Anaesth Soc J 1976; 23: 366-9.
- Stanko D, Bergesio R, Davies K, Hegarty M, von Ungern-Sternberg BS. Postoperative pain, nausea and vomiting following adenotonsillectomy-a long-term followup.Pediatr Anesth 2013; 23: 690-6.
- 11. Sukhani R, Pappas AL, Lurie J, Hotaling AJ, Park A, Fluder E. Ondansetron and dolasetron provide equivalent postoperative vomiting control after ambulatory tonsillectomy in dexamethasone- pretreated children. Anesth Analg 2002; 95: 1230-5.
- 12. Thomas R, Jones N. Prospective randomized double-blind comparative study of dexamethasone, ondansetron and ondansetron plus dexamethasone as prophylactic antiemetic therapy in patients undergoing day-case gynaecological

surgery. Br J Anaesth 2001; 87: 20. 588-92.

- 13. Kushwaha B, Chakraborty A, Agarwal J, Malick A, Bhushan S, Bhattacharya P. Comparative study of granisetron and ondansetron alone and their combination with dexamethasone for prevention of PONV in middle ear surgery. Internet Journal of Anaesthesiology 2006; 13: 2.
- 14. Bano F, Zafar S, Aftab S, Haider S. Dexamethasone plus ondansetron for prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A comparison with dexamethasone alone. Journal of the College of Physicians and surgeons Pakistan 2008; 18: 265-9.
- 15. Bhattarai B, Shrestha S, Singh J. Comparison of ondansetron and combination of ondansetron and dexamethasone as a prophylaxis for postoperative nasea and vomiting in adults undergoing elective laparoscopic surgery. J Emerg Trauma Shock 2011; 4: 168-72.
- 16. Eidy M, Vafaei HR, Rajabi M, Mohammadzadeh M, Pazouki A. Effect of ondansetron and dexamethasone on post-operative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Minim Invasive Surg Sci 2012; 1: 138-43.
- 17. Kumar A, Patodia M, Pandove PK, Sharda VK. A randomized, placebo controlled study evaluating preventive role of ondansetron, dexamethasone and ondansetron plus dexamethasone for postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. JIMSA 2013; 26: 217-8.
- Sun R, Klein KW, White PF. The effect of timing of ondansetron administration in outpatients undergoing otolaryngologic surgery. Anesth Analg 1997; 84: 331-6.
- Figg WD, Graham CL, Hak LJ, Dukes GE. Ondansetron: A novel antiemetic agent. South Med J 1993; 86: 497-502.

Goldman AC, Govindaraj S, Rosenfeld RM. A meta- analysis of dexamethasone use with tonsillectomy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2000; 123: 682-6.

- 21. Bellis JR, Pirmohamed M, Nunn AJ, Loke YK, De S, Golder S. Dexamethasone and haemorrhage risk in paediatric tonsillectomy: A systematic review and meta- analysis. Br J Anaesth 2014; 113: 23-42.
- 22. Mahant S, Keren R, Localio R, Luan X, Song L, Shah SS. Dexamethasone and risk of bleeding in children undergoing tonsillectomy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2014; 150: 872-9.
- 23. Baxendale BR, Vater M, Lavery KM. Dexamethasone reduces pain and swelling following extraction of third molar teeth. Anaesthesia 1993; 48: 961.